

## EDITORIAL

### **Somaliland's Hybrid Governance: A Blueprint for Resilient State-Building**

*Professor Samuel Kale Ewusi*

In fragile settings, the erosion of state capacity to deliver essential services—exacerbated by conflict and institutional collapse—directly undermines societal stability and citizen welfare. Somaliland's post-1991 state-building journey, distinct in its decentralized, bottom-up approach compared to regional and global counterparts, highlights the critical need to strengthen institutional frameworks to transcend fragility. Central to this effort is enhancing the capacity of state institutions to perform core functions: effective tax collection to fund public services, equitable resource distribution to foster citizen trust, and the modernization of bureaucratic and political structures to ensure accountability and responsiveness. Equally vital is fortifying security institutions, such as the police force, which serve as pillars of public order and conflict prevention. By prioritizing these pillars, Somaliland can solidify its governance architecture, transforming fragility into resilience. Investments in infrastructure—both physical and institutional—lay the groundwork for functional security systems, efficient governance, and accessible social services, all of which collectively reinforce state legitimacy. This institutional robustness not only mitigates internal vulnerabilities but also positions Somaliland as a comparative success story in a region plagued by protracted instability, demonstrating that locally rooted governance models can counteract the destabilizing legacies of conflict.

The twenty-first century global order, defined by interdependence and competitive state interests, necessitates that Somaliland strategically navigate this landscape to secure its political and economic future. While international recognition remains elusive, Somaliland's leaders and citizens must leverage pragmatic diplomacy, cross-border trade partnerships, and alignment with global governance norms to bolster institutional growth. Domestically, fostering civic participation and inclusive policymaking can deepen social cohesion, ensuring that state-building remains a collective endeavor. Simultaneously, integrating technology into public service delivery and security operations can enhance institutional efficiency and transparency, bridging gaps in resource-limited contexts. Externally, engaging with regional bodies and international actors—without compromising Somaliland's sovereignty—can attract investment and technical expertise to further develop critical sectors. Ultimately, the responsibility lies with Somaliland's stakeholders to balance internal capacity building with global engagement, ensuring that its institutions not only survive but thrive. By anchoring its trajectory in both self-reliance and strategic collaboration, Somaliland can carve a sustainable path toward *de jure* statehood, serving as a testament to the potential of hybrid governance in post-conflict settings.

Somaliland must continue balancing internal capacity building with its global engagement to ensure institutions thrive, but challenges persist, including climate-induced droughts and limited access to international loans due to its non-recognition status. However, Somaliland's hybrid governance model—rooted in local legitimacy yet open to innovation—offers a blueprint for post-conflict resilience. Investments in renewable energy, judicial reforms, and social safety nets could address emerging vulnerabilities while positioning Somaliland as a mediator in regional conflicts that might elevate its global relevance. The lack of *de jure* statehood has not deterred its progress; instead, Somaliland's emphasis on functional governance, fiscal stability, and inclusive development has garnered legitimacy from citizens and international partners alike. By anchoring

its trajectory in both tradition and strategic collaboration, Somaliland demonstrates that hybrid governance can counteract the destabilizing legacies of conflict, offering hope for other fragile societies. Its journey underscores a critical lesson: resilience in state-building emerges not from rigid adherence to Western models, but from adaptive institutions that reflect local realities while embracing global opportunities.

-----

PROFESSOR SAMUEL KALE EWUSI is a distinguished scholar and practitioner in Peace Studies and International Relations, serving as Africa Regional Director and Regional Representative of the United Nations-mandated University for Peace (UPEACE). Holding a Bachelor of Laws from the University of Yaoundé (Cameroon), an MSc in International Relations and an MA in Political Economy from the University of Maiduguri (Nigeria), and a Ph.D in Peace Studies from North-West University (South Africa), he previously led the Department of Politics and International Relations and served as a UN Senior Consultant for Peacebuilding in Africa. Joining UPEACE in 2011, he headed the Great Lakes Programme (covering 10 countries) and was promoted to Research Director in 2013 after securing Canadian funding for 56 doctoral scholarships across 28 African nations. He has edited numerous books, the Africa Peace and Conflict Journal, and the UPEACE Africa Policy Series, while publishing extensively in peer-reviewed journals and contributing to book chapters. A consultant for the UN (UNDP, UNESCO) and the African Union's Governance and Transitional Justice team, he was also a Commonwealth-appointed election observer in Lesotho and Zambia. With over 10 authored/co-authored books on African peace, governance, and development, his work bridges academic rigor and policymaking to advance regional stability.